
MILITARY MEDICAL ETHICS
Volume 2



The Coat of Arms
1818
Medical Department of the Army

A 1976 etching by Vassil Ekimov of an original color print that appeared in *The Military Surgeon*, Vol XXI, No 2, 1917

The first line of medical defense in wartime is the combat medic. Although in ancient times medics carried the caduceus into battle to signify the neutral, humanitarian nature of their tasks, they have never been immune to the perils of war. They have made the highest sacrifices to save the lives of others, and their dedication to the wounded soldier is the foundation of military medical care.

Textbooks of Military Medicine

Published by the

*Office of The Surgeon General
Department of the Army, United States of America*

Editor in Chief and Director
Dave E. Lounsbury, MD, FACP
Colonel, MC, US Army
*Borden Institute
Assistant Professor of Medicine
F. Edward Hébert School of Medicine
Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences*

Military Medical Editor
Ronald F. Bellamy, MD
Colonel, US Army, Retired
*Borden Institute
Associate Professor of Military Medicine
Associate Professor of Surgery
F. Edward Hébert School of Medicine
Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences*

The Borden Institute seeks to collect, publish, and promote all aspects of the unique body of scholarship that constitutes military medicine.

The *Textbooks of Military Medicine* series was conceived in 1987 by then Colonel Russ Zajtchuk and made a reality by Donald P. Jenkins, PhD. A mission of the Borden Institute, the TMM series is published under the aegis of The Surgeon General of the US Army. The Borden Institute draws on Army, Navy, Air Force, Public Health Service, and civilian resources to develop these volumes.

Published Textbooks

Medical Consequences of Nuclear Warfare (1989)
Conventional Warfare: Ballistic, Blast, and Burn Injuries (1991)
Occupational Health: The Soldier and the Industrial Base (1993)
Military Dermatology (1994)
Military Psychiatry: Preparing in Peace for War (1994)
Anesthesia and Perioperative Care of the Combat Casualty (1995)
War Psychiatry (1995)
Medical Aspects of Chemical and Biological Warfare (1997)
Rehabilitation of the Injured Soldier, Volume 1 (1998)
Rehabilitation of the Injured Soldier, Volume 2 (1999)
Medical Aspects of Harsh Environments, Volume 1 (2002)
Medical Aspects of Harsh Environments, Volume 2 (2002)
Ophthalmic Care of the Combat Casualty (2003)
Military Preventive Medicine, Volume 1 (2003)
Military Medical Ethics, Volume 1 (2003)
Military Medical Ethics, Volume 2 (2003)



J.O. Chapin

The Doctor in War

1944

The fifth of seven images from the series *The Seven Ages of a Physician*. The series depicts the life progression of a doctor from birth, first encounter with suffering, through medical training, professional experience, service to country during war, and research to further knowledge. The heritage of military medicine is readily apparent in the depiction of casualties from various wars. As he treats this casualty he draws upon the experience of those physicians who have treated the casualties of war in the past. Likewise, his knowledge, passed to the next generation, continues this tradition of caring that is military medicine.

Art: Courtesy of Novartis Pharmaceuticals.

MILITARY MEDICAL ETHICS

VOLUME 2

Specialty Editors

THOMAS E. BEAM, MD
Formerly Director, Borden Institute
Formerly, Medical Ethics Consultant to The Surgeon General, United States Army

LINETTE R. SPARACINO, MA
Borden Institute

Section Editor

MEDICAL ETHICS IN THE MILITARY
THOMAS E. BEAM, MD
Formerly Director, Borden Institute
Formerly, Medical Ethics Consultant to The Surgeon General, United States Army

Office of The Surgeon General
United States Army
Falls Church, Virginia

Borden Institute
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences
Bethesda, Maryland

2003

Editorial Staff: Lorraine B. Davis
Senior Production Manager
Linette R. Sparacino
Volume Editor

Douglas Wise
Senior Layout Editor

This volume was prepared for military medical educational use. The focus of the information is to foster discussion that may form the basis of doctrine and policy. The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense.

Dosage Selection:

The authors and publisher have made every effort to ensure the accuracy of dosages cited herein. However, it is the responsibility of every practitioner to consult appropriate information sources to ascertain correct dosages for each clinical situation, especially for new or unfamiliar drugs and procedures. The authors, editors, publisher, and the Department of Defense cannot be held responsible for any errors found in this book.

Use of Trade or Brand Names:

Use of trade or brand names in this publication is for illustrative purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the Department of Defense.

Neutral Language:

Unless this publication states otherwise, masculine nouns and pronouns do not refer exclusively to men.

CERTAIN PARTS OF THIS PUBLICATION PERTAIN TO COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

NO COPYRIGHTED PARTS OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL (INCLUDING PHOTOCOPY, RECORDING, OR ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM), WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER OR COPYRIGHT OWNER.

Published by the Office of The Surgeon General at TMM Publications
Borden Institute
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5001

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Military medical ethics / specialty editors, Thomas E. Beam, Linette R. Sparacino ; section editors, Edmund D. Pellegrino, Anthony E. Hartle, Edmund G. Howe.
p. ; cm. -- (Textbooks of military medicine)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
1. Medicine, Military--Moral and ethical aspects. 2. Military ethics. 3. Medical ethics. I. Beam, Thomas E. II. Sparacino, Linette R. III. Series
[DNLM: 1. Military Medicine—ethics. 2. Military Personnel—psychology. 3. Physicians's Role. 4. War. UH 390 M6437 2003]
RC971.M638 2003
174'.2—dc22

2003057728

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

10, 09, 08, 07, 06, 05, 04, 03

5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Contributors	xi
Foreword by The Surgeon General	xiii
Preface	xv
Section IV: Medical Ethics in the Military	367
13. Medical Ethics on the Battlefield: The Crucible of Military Medical Ethics Thomas E. Beam, MD The battlefield is perhaps the most difficult of all environments in which to practice medicine. Pressures from the threat of enemy attack as well as unique issues, such as returning soldier/patients to duty, triage or even euthanasia on the battlefield, and physician participation in interrogation of prisoners of war, require agonizing choices.	369
14. Nazi Medical Ethics: Ordinary Doctors? Robert N. Proctor, PhD Medicine under the Nazi regime flourished and physicians participated, not as pawns but as pioneers, in the horrors of genocide and unethical experimentation. The reasons for this are varied and have many factors, but do not lessen the terror of physicians killing and torturing patients.	403
15. Nazi Hypothermia Research: Should the Data Be Used? Robert S. Pozos, PhD One of the better known examples of unethical research under the Nazi regime is that of the hypothermia experiments on prisoners. A recurring question remains as to whether or not the data represent good science and if so, whether or not to use these data.	437
16. Japanese Biomedical Experimentation During the World War II Era Sheldon H. Harris, PhD The Japanese experiments in China during World War II are perhaps less well known than those of the Nazi physicians, but equal them in scope and in harm to their victims. However, there was no Japanese equivalent to the Nuremberg physician's trial. This raises obvious questions with very interesting implications.	463
17. The Cold War and Beyond: Covert and Deceptive American Medical Experimentation by Susan E. Lederer, PhD Examination of the history of experimentation in America before, during, and after World War II provides an opportunity to review unethical research in a democratic society so that one can learn and possibly prevent their ever occurring again.	507
18. Medical Ethics in Military Biomedical Research Michael E. Frisina, MA The very concept of biomedical research in the military raises ethical questions. However, it is possible to obtain good data while adhering to principles of research ethics.	533
19. The Human Volunteer in Military Biomedical Research Paul J. Amoroso, MD and Lynn L. Wenger, MSBA Human subject research within the military raises unique issues, including concerns for coercion, adequacy of informed consent, and use of epidemiologic data obtained for different purposes. There are more stringent regulations in place within the military than in the civilian sector to safeguard against potential violations of human subject research ethics.	563
20. Nursing Ethics and the Military Janet R. Southby, RN, DNSc Ethics in nursing has a rich history, one which the military has helped develop. Ethics as viewed by nurses is complementary to that of physicians.	661

21.	Religious and Cultural Considerations in Military Healthcare	687
	David M. DeDonato, MDiv, MA, BCC and Rick D. Mathis, JD, MDiv, MA	
	Religion and cultural practices are extremely important to medicine in the military due to frequent opportunities for interaction with other cultures. The study of views of wellness and illness can assist health care professionals address conflicts arising from religious and cultural differences.	
22.	Societal Influences and the Ethics of Military Healthcare	719
	Jay Stanley, PhD	
	In a civilian controlled military, societal influences are a major factor in military medicine and its ethics.	
23.	Military Medicine in War: The Geneva Conventions Today	739
	Lewis C. Vollmar, Jr, MD, MBA, MA (Law)	
	The Geneva Conventions, as they pertain to medical personnel and their patients, provide specific reciprocal privileges and obligations. They exist to attempt to ensure safety and an appropriate level of care for those sick, wounded, or captured.	
24.	Military Medicine in Humanitarian Missions	773
	Joan T. Zajтчuk, MD, Spec in HSA	
	Examining the history of military medicine in humanitarian missions provides an understanding of its changing role. Lessons learned from past efforts can help develop effective programs in the future.	
25.	Military Humanitarian Assistance: The Pitfalls and Promise of Good Intentions	805
	Elspeth Cameron Ritchie, MD and Robert L. Mott, MD, MPH	
	Peacetime engagement projects and conflict-related contingency operations require different methods of planning and execution. Mistakes made in past missions highlight some of the problems associated with well-intentioned efforts. There are also unique stresses experienced by healthcare professionals working in these environments.	
26.	A Look Toward the Future	831
	Thomas E. Beam, MD and Edmund G. Howe, MD, JD	
	Technological advances currently being considered provide an opportunity to develop a method for ethical analysis of those in the future. Compensatory justice may require earlier introduction of some of these lifesaving technologies within the military.	
27.	A Proposed Ethic for Military Medicine	851
	Thomas E. Beam, MD and Edmund G. Howe, MD, JD	
	A possible military medical ethic could include concepts of acting primarily as a physician in almost all circumstances, voluntarily limiting the exercise of power, and advancing the concept of compensatory justice. Exceptions to these general precepts need careful analysis and justification.	
	Afterword	867
	Abbreviations and Acronyms	xix
	Index	xxiii

Contributors

PAUL J. AMOROSO, MD, MPH

Colonel, Medical Corps, United States Army; Research Epidemiologist and Project Director, Total Army Injury and Health Outcomes Database Project, United States Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, MCMR-EMP, 42 Kansas Street, Natick, Massachusetts 01760-5007

ANTHONY C. AREND, PhD

Professor of Government and Adjunct Professor of Law, Georgetown University, 4000 Reservoir Road, Washington, DC 20056

THOMAS E. BEAM, MD

Colonel (Retired), Medical Corps, United States Army

BRIAN S. CARTER, MD, FAAP

Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University, A-0126 Medical Center North, Nashville, Tennessee 37232-23707

DAVID M. DeDONATO, MDIV, MA, BCC (APC)

Director of Pastoral Care, Lexington Medical Center, West Columbia, South Carolina 29169

NICHOLAS G. FOTION, PhD

Professor, Department of Philosophy, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

MICHAEL E. FRISINA, MA

Administrative Director, Surgical Services, Tuomey Healthcare System, 129 North Washington Street, Sumter, South Carolina 29150

SHELDON H. HARRIS, PhD

Professor Emeritus of History, California State University, Northridge, California (Dr. Harris died August 31, 2002)

ANTHONY E. HARTLE, PhD

Colonel, Corps of Professors, United States Military Academy, United States Army; Professor of Philosophy, Department of English, United States Military Academy, West Point, New York 10996-1791

JOHN COLLINS HARVEY, MD, PhD

Professor of Medicine Emeritus, Georgetown University; Senior Research Scholar, Kennedy Institute of Ethics, Georgetown University; and Senior Research Scholar, Center for Clinical Bioethics, Georgetown University Medical Center, 4000 Reservoir Road, NW, #D-238, Washington, DC 20057

EDMUND G. HOWE, MD, JD

Director, Programs in Ethics, Professor of Psychiatry, and Associate Professor of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; and Chair, Committee of Department of Defense Ethics Consultants to the Surgeons General

FARIS R. KIRKLAND, PhD

Lieutenant Colonel (Retired), Field Artillery, United States Army (Dr. Kirkland died February 22, 2000)

SUSAN E. LEDERER, PhD

Assistant Professor, Section of the History of Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, Yale University, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8015

BARRY S. LEVY, MD, MPH

Adjunct Professor of Community Health, Tufts University School of Medicine, 20 North Main Street, #200, Post Office Box 1230, Sherborn, Massachusetts 01770

WILLIAM MADDEN, MD

Associate Professor of Clinical Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics and Steele Memorial Children's Research Center, College of Medicine, University of Arizona, 1501 North Campbell Avenue, Tucson, Arizona 85724

RICK D. MATHIS, JD, MDIV, MA

Lieutenant Colonel, Chaplain Corps, United States Army; Staff Chaplain, 18th Military Police Brigade, Mannheim, Germany; HHC 18th MP Bde, Unit 29708, APO AE 09028

ROBERT L. MOTT, MD, MPH

Major, Medical Corps, United States Army; Deputy Director, General Preventive Medicine Residency, United States Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Building 503, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-7500

WILLIAM V. O'BRIEN, PhD

Professor of Government Emeritus (Retired), Georgetown University, 4000 Reservoir Road, Washington, DC 20056

EDMUND D. PELLEGRINO, MD, MACP

John Carroll Professor of Medicine and Medical Ethics, Georgetown University; Senior Research Scholar, Kennedy Institute of Ethics, Georgetown University; and Senior Research Scholar, Center for Clinical Bioethics, Georgetown University Medical Center, 4000 Reservoir Road, NW, #D-238, Washington, DC 20057

ROBERT S. POZOS, PhD

Professor of Biology, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, California 92182-4616

ROBERT N. PROCTOR, PhD

Helen and Walter Ferree Professor of the History of Science and Co-Director, Science, Medicine, and Technology in Culture, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

DOMINIC RASCONA, MD, FACP, FCCP

Commander, Medical Corps, United States Navy; Assistant Director, Critical Care, Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth, Virginia

ELSPETH CAMERON RITCHIE, MD

Lieutenant Colonel, Medical Corps, United States Army; Program Director, Mental Health Policy and Women's Health Issues, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, Skyline 5, Suite 601, 5111 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041-3206

VICTOR W. SIDEL, MD

Distinguished University Professor of Social Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 111 East 210th Street, Bronx, New York 10467; Adjunct Professor of Public Health, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York

JANET R. SOUTHBY, RN, DNSc

Colonel (Retired), Nurse Corps, United States Army; Associate Director, Interagency Institute for Federal Health Care Executives, School of Public Health and Health Services, The George Washington University Medical Center, Washington, DC

JAY STANLEY, PhD

Professor Emeritus of Sociology and Director, Symposium for Peace, War and Military Studies, Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Towson University, Towson, Maryland 21204-7097

DANIEL P. SULMASY, OFM, MD, PhD

Professor of Medicine and Director of the Bioethics Institute, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York; and Sisters of Charity Chair in Ethics, John J. Conley Department of Ethics, Saint Vincent's Hospital and Medical Center, 153 West 11th Street, New York, New York 10011

DAVID C. THOMASMA, PhD

Professor and English Chair of Medical Ethics, Neiswanger Institute of Bioethics and Health Policy, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, 2160 South First Avenue, Maywood, Illinois 60153 (Dr. Thomasma died April 25, 2002)

SANDRA L. VISSER, PhD

Associate Professor, Department of Philosophy, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, Indiana 46383

LEWIS C. VOLLMAR, JR, MD, MBA, MA (Law)

Colonel (Retired), Medical Corps, United States Army Reserve; Dermatology Section Chief, St. Anthony's Hospital, 10004 Kennerly Road, Suite 300, St. Louis, Missouri 63128-2175

LYNN L. WENGER, MBA

Formerly, Human Research Support Program Coordinator, The Soldiers Systems Command, Natick, Massachusetts

JOAN T. ZAJTCHUK, MD, SPEC IN HSA

Colonel (Retired), Medical Corps, United States Army; Professor of Otolaryngology and Bronchoesophagology, Center for Advanced Technology and International Health, Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center, 600 South Paulina, Suite 524, Chicago, Illinois 60612-3832

Foreword

These two volumes of the *Textbook of Military Medicine* address medical ethics within a military context, a heretofore essentially unexplored field. Military medical care is practiced across a wide spectrum of settings, ranging from garrison medicine, through deployments for Operations Other Than War (OOTW), and extending to massive deployments of personnel and materiel in a large-scale conventional war. Within a peacetime garrison setting, military medical ethics has many similarities to civilian medical ethics and usually uses the same decision-making processes. It is similar in that the patient–physician relationship is generally the same, as are the goals of therapy. Patient autonomy takes priority in clinical decisions. However, the very nature of the military mission, especially when it involves deployment or combat, precludes military medical ethics from being identical to civilian medical ethics. Within military medicine, there is a significant dichotomy between medicine’s healing and the military’s injuring. Conflicts can arise between duties to the patient and to the command structure. The battlefield introduces totally unique stressors and criteria for decision making. These differences demonstrate the need for these two volumes and their exploration will be its primary emphasis.

The study and discussion of military medical ethics is inherently controversial and troubling. Those who serve in the armed services understand the complexities and problems that the military mission can introduce to the delivery of effective medical healthcare. For instance, rarely does the issue of national security play a role in the day-to-day medical decisions in a civilian setting. The military, however, as the sentry and defender of the nation, is tasked with maintaining security. Survival of the nation can be a powerful driving force behind medical decisions, whether they are correct, just, or legal. One need look no further in our own past than the recently revealed radiation experiments from the Cold War era to understand this. Certainly the lessons to be learned from the perversion of medicine in Germany and Japan, both before and during World War II, are ones to be carefully examined and never forgotten. We constantly strive to remember those lessons, to learn from them, and to attempt to ensure that we do not repeat the travesties of the past. It is all too easy to look at others’ sins and be smug in our own virtue. While controversy is seldom comfortable, it should always be instructive. An excellent organization is willing to publicly examine and discuss its mistakes and to learn from them. *Military Medical Ethics* is offered in that spirit. These volumes may offend. They may stir emotions. They are intended to illuminate. If we cannot bear to look at past mistakes, particularly when they are ours, we cannot learn from them and therefore we cannot prevent them in the future.

I strongly encourage all military medical officers, commanders, and others involved in ethical decision making in medicine study this two volumes. Examine your responses and analyze your decision-making processes. Those who are willing to give the supreme sacrifice in the service of their country are entitled to nothing less than the best ethical decisions made in providing superior medical care to them and their families.

Lieutenant General James B. Peake
The Surgeon General
US Army

Washington, DC
April 2003

Preface

Volume I has discussed the separate fields of medical ethics and military ethics, as well as the synthesis of the two fields in the discussion of profession of the military physician. Volume II continues this discussion by noting that medical ethics in the military is more than just the mere combining of the ethics of the two professions in the persona of the military physician. The underlying tension generated by mixed agency will permeate the chapters in this volume. This tension emerges most clearly when caring for casualties of combat. As the chapter on battlefield medical ethics so aptly describes, the pace and chaos of the battlefield put physicians in situations of making immediate life and death decisions. Furthermore, the practice of medicine in this ferocious environment requires professional military medical training. The lack of resources—whether time, personnel, equipment, supplies, or safety—thrusts the military physician into situations so hostile that his skills, morality, and ethics can all be challenged. This environment is one that his civilian colleagues are likely to never experience, and thus are likely to never fully understand or appreciate. But military physicians know, even if they have not yet cared for combat casualties, that doing so is the apex of their careers—what they have prepared to do, and what they are willing to sacrifice even their own lives in order to do. Thus it is not an exaggeration to say that the battlefield is the crucible of military medical ethics.

Medicine in the service of the State, however, can be seductive and corruptive. We offer four chapters detailing several examples in which unethical decisions were made under the pressure of national security issues. The first reviews the already well-documented crimes against humanity committed by the Nazi regime and punished by the Nuremberg Tribunal. The Nazi doctors were not forced into evil; many freely chose it. The next two chapters (one on the hypothermia experiments at Dachau and the other on the biomedical research programs of the Japanese during the same era) demonstrate the widespread corruption of medical ethics when medicine in the service of the state went without challenge. Some of these transgressions were prosecuted; others were not. The fourth chapter in this discussion concerns American covert and deceptive medical research during the Cold War era. Some may blanch at the inclusion of a chapter on American misdeeds in the same section that chronicles the horrors of the German and Japanese death camps. While American research efforts were not as *malevolent* or *extensive* as those of other countries, they nonetheless violated the ethic underlying the patient–physician relationship—“firstly, do no harm.”

The four chapters that comprise the discussion of medicine in the service of the state are followed by two chapters that examine the issues of medical research during that era, and bring it forward through the history of military medical research. Although the chapters have a certain historical flavor, inasmuch as they acknowledge the misdeeds of the past, they also describe how these research programs evolved. In their evolution we see a turn away from pursuing whatever was necessary to protect the country, even if it was at the expense of individuals, toward ensuring ethical research. Thus, the theme for these two chapters is very straightforward: Medical research in the military is carefully controlled to protect the rights of individuals, while ethically pursuing the knowledge necessary to protect the health of service members and thus to support the military mission. The second of the two chapters has, as attachments, several of the most important documents pertaining to the ethical conduct of research, including *The Belmont Report*.

Medicine in the military is practiced in a variety of contexts, with a variety of patients, all of which necessitates an understanding of the ethics of patient healthcare in a diverse world. Just as there are a variety of patients (including family members and veterans), there are also a variety of healthcare professionals who comprise the healthcare team. Nursing, in particular, addresses the individuality of patients and functions as a bridge between the needs of the patient and the services of the physician. Chaplains are another key component in the healthcare team, for they bring with them an understanding of the spiritual needs of patients as they confront what can be life-altering events or illnesses. Their ability to understand social and cultural differences of patients is particularly valuable in an increasingly diverse military population that also deploys to other cultures to offer assistance.

Medicine in the military is influenced by the society—its ethics, customs, and laws—that it seeks to protect. This societal influence is most apparent as it relates to medicine in the military and the care of its beneficiaries. Military medicine in combat is governed by the Geneva Conventions. These specify the

rights and responsibilities of healthcare professionals and injured or captured combatants.

As the mission of the military continues to evolve, so, too, does the role of military medicine, especially in operations other than war. We present two chapters dealing with the most prevalent forms of military medical assistance to other nations. These missions can, at the same time, be both inspiring and frustrating to those tasked to carry them out. Understanding the ethic of military medicine, especially in these austere environments, is of benefit to all participants to help them navigate through the many obstacles that can be found in unfamiliar surroundings. Not only will military missions evolve; military medicine will evolve as well with the development of new technologies for treating military personnel. Without an adequate appreciation of military medical ethics, some may find these new technologies so tantalizing that the basics (as they have been presented in these volumes) of medical ethics—autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice—may be set aside.

What, then, is the military physician? What have we concluded about this professional in this exposition of military medical ethics? We can state it simply: We believe that the military physician is first and foremost a physician, and secondarily an officer. Yes, the physician is a uniformed service member and is subject to the same rules and regulations, as well as loss of autonomy, as other service members. But most of the time military physicians primarily serve as physicians caring for individual service members. These service members understand that sometimes physicians will have to put the needs of the individual aside for the needs of the mission, but troops must also remain confident that their doctors will do that only when absolutely necessary.

The editors intend these volumes to challenge the reader to examine his profession—both medicine and military—and begin to critically evaluate the position he will take on ethically challenging issues. There is a rich history of military medicine that includes examples of both good and evil. Our intention is for today's military physician to learn from past errors, to live up to the excellent models of the past, and to grow into the future. Military medicine is a moral profession, but we must be vigilant to guard against challenges that threaten this.

Colonel (Retired) Thomas E. Beam
Formerly Director, The Borden Institute
US Army

Washington, DC
April 2003

The current medical system to support the U.S. Army at war is a continuum from the forward line of troops through the continental United States; it serves as a primary source of trained replacements during the early stages of a major conflict. The system is designed to optimize the return to duty of the maximum number of trained combat soldiers at the lowest possible level. Far-forward stabilization helps to maintain the physiology of injured soldiers who are unlikely to return to duty and allows for their rapid evacuation from the battlefield without needless sacrifice of life or function.
